

CF16002

①
④IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 614...../2007

PETITIONERS:

- 1] Juthel Yadav S/o Motiram age 54 years
- 2] Ramcharan S/o Amoli sahu age 54 years
- 3] Dhaniram S/o Khedan satnami age 50 years
- 4] Rameswar S/o Jawaher chandra age 53 years
- 5] Mahetar S/o Shanker age 50 years
- 6] Rajju S/o Kanhaiya age 54 years
- 7] Keja D/o Cheturam age 52 years
- 8] Girdhari S/o Khelan age 54 years
- 9] Ram Awtar S/o Baba sahu age 47 years
- 10] Bhagwat S/o Ramadhin age 48 years
- 11] Kapil S/o Ramcharan satnami age 41 years
- 12] Jaleswar S/o Gangaram Chuhan age 40 years
- 13] Kanhaiya S/o Vishnu Kewat age 47 years
- 14] Ishwari S/o Baisakhu sahu age 49 years
- 15] Ramu S/o Sukhru age 47 years
- 16] Tiblu S/o Kejauage 48 years

All working as Gangmen PWD Under, S.D.O.
PWD, Kawardha C/o Sub- Divisional Officer,
PWD, Kawardha, Distt- Kabirdham [C.G.]

VERSUSRESPONDENTS:

- 1] State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary, Public Works Deptt.
Mantralaya, DKS Bhawan-Raipur (C.G.)
- 2] Sub- Divisional Officer, PWD, Kawardha
Distt- Kabirdham [C.G.]

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 614..... सन् 2007

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>1.2.2007</u> Mr. Ashok Vaishnav, counsel for the petitioners. Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard finally and disposed of. Common order dictated in W.P. No. 3147 of 2004 in open Court, passed separately. A copy of this order be placed on record in connected writ petitions.	Sd/- Satish K. Agnihotri Judge

P.T.O.

1/14/2007
P
13/6

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. No. 3147 of 2004

Petitioner : Sudhram
Versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P. No. 3188 of 2004

Petitioner : Mohit Ram
Versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P. No. 3279 of 2004

Petitioner : Durdeshi
Versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P. No. 4929 of 2004

Petitioners : Shobha Ram and others
Versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P. No. 4830 of 2004

Petitioner : Johan Bijhwar
Versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P. No. 5027 of 2004

Petitioners : Leela Bai and another
Versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P. No. 1103 of 2005

Petitioners : Bajraha Ram and others
Versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

And

W. P. (s) No. 614 of 2007

Petitioners : Juthel Yadav and others
Versus
Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

45

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Mr. Ashok Vaishnav, counsel for the petitioners.

Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on 1st day of February, 2007)

The following order of the Court is passed by Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Panel lawyer appearing for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts of the present case are similar to that of in W. P. No. 454/2005 (Ram Prasad Yadav & others vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 4.1.2007. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.
2. In view of the above stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, these writ petitions are allowed in terms of the order dated 4.1.2007 passed by this Court in W. P. No. 454/2005. No order as to costs.
3. A copy of this order be placed on record in connected writ petitions.

Bablu

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge